

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,668	07/15/2003	Lung-Te Sung	FP9604	5896	
759	90 05/06/2004		EXAMINER		
Lung-Te Sung			HUNTER, ALVIN A		
PO Box 82-144 Taipei,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAÌWAN			3711		
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T 4 44 1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/618,668	SUNG, LUNG-TE				
Office Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Alvin A. Hunter	he correspondence address				
Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with a	no correspondence duaress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply lead to the statutory minimum of thirty (30 bd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	July 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli iority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/618,668

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

- a)in line 5, the limitation "the striking" should read -the striking face--;
- b) In line 6, the phase "where at" should be deleted;
- c) In line 8, the word "pressure" should read -press bar--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 2, the limitation "the head of a golf club" lacks antecedent basis.

In line 4, the limitation "the back of the striking face of the club head" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,668

Art Unit: 3711

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doong (USPN 6354956).

Doong discloses a golf club head having hollow structure combined with multiple sheets 1, 3, 4 and 5 having a compression coil 2 being fixed to the back of the striking face 1 of the club head, wherein the compression coil being provided in a direction following the striking face. One end of the compression coil is fixed to the back of the striking face and the other end of the compression coil is fixed to a press bar 3 wherein the ends of the press bar are fitted to the back of the striking face 1 (See Figure 3, and Column 2, lines 7 through 23). Doong does not disclose having the press bar welded to the back of the striking face. Though it does not disclose press bar welded to the back of the striking face, Doong teaches that welding may be used as a means for attaching elements (See Column 2, lines 7 through 23). One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to weld the press bar to the back of the striking in order to reduce the resilience of the striking face upon being hit with a golf ball.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is 703-306-5693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday trough Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,668

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Garbe, can be reached on 703-308-1207. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAA

Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

Primary Examiner